

Public Document Pack
Crawley Borough Council



Full Council

Supplementary Agenda – Order Paper

Wednesday, 19 July 2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Anufel".

Chief Executive

	Pages
2 Disclosures of Interest	5 - 6
Enclosed are the Disclosures of Interests received in advance of this meeting.	
5 Public Question Time	
There are no written questions submitted in advance for Full Council to consider.	
To answer public questions under Full Council Procedure Rule 1.1-E. The questions must be on matters which are relevant to the functions of the Council, and should not include statements.	
One supplementary question from the questioner will be allowed.	
Up to 30 minutes is allocated to Public Question Time.	
6 Consideration of Full Council Recommendations and Call-In Decisions	7 - 12
Minutes to follow:	
k) Special Overview and Scrutiny Commission – 10 July 2023 (page 7)	
l) Cabinet – 12 July 2023 (page 9)	
Recommendation 4 – Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential (PART B) (page 10)	

8 Appointment of an Independent Member of the Audit Committee (Recommendation 6)

It is proposed that Mr Atta Ul Haque be appointed as the Independent Member of Audit Committee, for a two year period, with the option of a further two years. **(Recommendation 6)**

12 Councillors' Questions Time

13 - 18

There are three written questions submitted in advance for Full Council to consider.

There will be a maximum of **30** minutes for Councillors' Question Time (CQT). Councillors may ask questions relating to either a portfolio issue or with regard to the functions delegated to a Committee.

There are **two** methods for Councillors asking questions:

1. Councillors can submit written questions in advance of the meeting and written answers will be provided on the evening of the Full Council.
2. Councillors can also verbally ask questions during the CQT.

Councillors have the opportunity to ask oral supplementary questions in relation to either of the methods above.

13 Receiving the Minutes of the Cabinet, Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Other Committees including Items for Debate

There are no items for Debate.

To receive the minutes of the meetings of the Cabinet, Overview and Scrutiny Commission and Committees, as listed on page 31, and set out in the appendices to this item and to debate any Reserved Items contained within those Minutes.

NB: In advance of the meeting Political Groups can identify any items they wish to debate as a Reserved Item. These Reserved Items will then be the only matters to be the subject of debate.

15 Amendment 1 Notice Of Motion 1 - Motion Opposing London Ulez Expansion

19 - 22

Enclosed is the Labour Amendment to Notice of Motion 1 – Motion Opposing London Ulez Expansion – Mover Councillor Jones and Seconder Councillor Y Khan.

This amendment will be discussed under item 11 Notice of Motion 1 – Motion Opposing London Ulez Expansion

**16 Amendment 1 to Recommendation 4 - Town Hall Site
Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential
(PART B)**

23 - 24

Enclosed is the Conservative Amendment 1 To Recommendation 4 Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential (PART B) Mover Councillor Crow and Seconder Councillor Piggott

This amendment will be discussed under item 6 Consideration of Full Council Recommendations and Call-In Decisions, when considering Recommendation 4 Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential (PART B)

NOTE: The Mayor has agreed that, although this report and information was not available for at least five clear days before the meeting, there are special circumstances justifying its urgent consideration.

INFORMATION ON DURATION OF THE MEETING

If the business of the meeting has not been completed within two and a half hours (normally 10.00 p.m.), then the Mayor will require the meeting to consider if it wishes to continue for a period of up to 30 minutes. A vote will be taken and a simple majority in favour will be necessary for the meeting to continue. (Following the meeting's initial extension, consideration will be given to extending the meeting by further periods of up to 30 minutes if required).

Should the vote be in favour of not continuing, there is a process for dealing with motions and recommendations which have not been dealt with within the two and a half hours, or at the expiry of any agreed extension(s). In these circumstances, such motions and recommendations will be deemed as formally moved and seconded (together with any amendments). No speeches will be allowed on these items and the vote will be taken in the usual way. If a recorded vote is called for during this process, it will be taken immediately. This process will also apply in instances where the Council is statutorily required to make a decision about any matter before a particular date.

During the process set out above, the only other motions which may be moved are that a matter be withdrawn or referred to an appropriate body or individual for decision or report. When all motions and recommendations have been dealt with, the Mayor will declare the meeting closed.

Agenda Item 2

Disclosures of Interest

Appendix A

Councillor	Item and Minute	Meeting	Type and Nature of Disclosure
Councillor Lanzer	Appointments and Membership (Minute 6)	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 5 June 2023	Personal Interest – West Sussex County Councillor.
Councillor Lanzer	Appointments and Membership (Minute 6)	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 5 June 2023	Personal Interest – WSCC Cabinet Member for Public Health and Wellbeing.
Councillor Lunnon	Update on K2 Crawley (Minute 7)	Overview and Scrutiny Commission 26 June 2023	Personal Interest – Member of Crawley Athletics Club

This page is intentionally left blank

Crawley Borough Council

Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission

Monday, 10 July 2023 at 7.00 pm

Councillors Present:

M L Ayling (Chair)

H Hellier (Vice-Chair)

I Ashraf, K Khan, R A Lanzer, T Lunnon, S Piggott, S Raja and J Russell

Also in Attendance:

Councillors T G Belben, J Charatan, D Crow, J Hart, K L Jaggard, M G Jones, K McCarthy, C J Mullins, S Mullins, A Nawaz, S Pritchard and T Rana

Also in Attendance, Virtual:

Councillor J Bounds

Officers Present:

Natalie Brahma-Pearl	Chief Executive
Ian Duke	Deputy Chief Executive
Heather Girling	Democratic Services Officer
Carolin Martlew	Head of Corporate Finance
Chris Pedlow	Democracy & Data Manager
Nigel Sheehan	Head of Projects and Commercial Services

Apologies for Absence:

Councillors J Millar-Smith and A Pendlington

1. Disclosures of Interest and Whipping Declarations

No disclosures or whipping of interests were made.

2. Exempt Information – Exclusion of the Public

RESOLVED

That in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act by virtue of the paragraph specified against the item.

3. Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account

Exempt Paragraphs 3 & 5

Information relating to financial and business affairs of any particular person (including the Authority holding that information); and

Information in respect of which a claim to legal privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.

The Commission considered report DCE/17 of the Deputy Chief Executive. The report outlined further information and an update on the project whilst seeking approval for the next steps.

During the discussion, Commission Members together with those Non-Commission Councillors in attendance commented and sought clarification on a number of aspects of the report. Councillors McCarthy, Belben, Jones, Crow, Charatan, Jaggard, Bounds and S Mullins asked questions on the item. Areas discussed included:

- The key milestones and processes which had taken place throughout the project were highlighted, together with the mechanisms in place to monitor and manage the deliverables.
- Confirmation was provided on the financial aspects for each phase, together with the legal considerations.
- Clarification was sought and obtained on the detail of the current and future options available, along with any opportunities that now afforded the Council.
- It was acknowledged that any redevelopment would provide a benefit for the Council and residents. However, the risks involved were recognised, together with the importance for all Councillors to be informed of the project. It was confirmed that there had been and continued to be, Executive level oversight.
- There was general support for an options appraisal to be undertaken with regards to the Phase 2 site for redevelopment.

RESOLVED

Having considered all the matters in detail, and as a result of the comprehensive discussion, the Commission noted the report and requested that the views expressed were fed back to the Cabinet, through the Commission's Comment sheet.

Closure of Meeting

With the business of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission concluded, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 9.30 pm.

M L Ayling (Chair)

Crawley Borough Council

Minutes of Cabinet

Wednesday, 12 July 2023 at 7.30 pm

Councillors Present:

M G Jones (Chair)	Leader of the Council
Y Khan	Cabinet Member for Public Protection
C J Mullins	Cabinet Member for Leisure and Wellbeing
S Mullins	Cabinet Member for Community Engagement and Culture
A Nawaz	Deputy Leader of the Council & Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development
T Rana	Cabinet Member for Resources

Also in Attendance:

Councillor D Crow and K McCarthy

Officers Present:

Natalie Brahma-Pearl	Chief Executive
Ian Duke	Deputy Chief Executive
Chris Pedlow	Democracy & Data Manager

Apologies for Absence:

Councillor I T Irvine and B Noyce

1. Disclosures of Interest

No disclosures of interests were made.

2. Public Question Time

There were no questions from the public.

3. Further Notice of Intention to Conduct Business in Private and Notifications of any Representations

It was reported that no representations had been received in respect of agenda item 5: *Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential Part B*

4. **Matters referred to the Cabinet and Report from the Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission**

It was confirmed that no matters had been referred to the Cabinet for further consideration.

5. **Exempt Information – Exclusion of the Public (Subject to Agenda Item 4)**

RESOLVED

That in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act by virtue of the paragraph specified against the item.

6. **Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account**

Exempt Paragraphs 3 & 5

Information relating to financial and business affairs of any particular person (including the Authority holding that information); and

Information in respect of which a claim to legal privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.

The Leader presented report DCE/17 of the Deputy Chief Executive. The report provided an update on the project and sought approval for the next steps.

Councillor Ayling presented the Overview and Scrutiny Commission's [comments](#) on the report to the Cabinet following consideration of the matter at its meeting on 10 July 2023, which included:

- The key milestones and processes which had taken place throughout the project were highlighted, together with the mechanisms in place to monitor and manage the deliverables.
- Confirmation was provided on the financial aspects for each phase, together with the legal considerations.
- Clarification was sought and obtained on the detail of the current and future options available, along with any opportunities that afforded the Council.
- It was acknowledged that any redevelopment would provide a benefit for the Council and residents. However, the risks involved were recognised, together with the importance for all Councillors to be kept informed about the project. It was confirmed that there had been and continued to be, Executive level oversight.
- There was general support for an options appraisal to be undertaken with regards to the Phase 2 site for redevelopment.

Councillors Nawaz, C Mullins, Rana, S Mullins spoke as part of the discussion in support of the report which included seeking several clarifications from the Deputy Chief Executive.

RESOLVED

That Cabinet

- a) notes the decision to rescind the Project Agreement in relation to Phase 2 of the Town Hall Site Redevelopment, taken after informing the Leader of the Council and the Leader of the Opposition
- b) agrees to undertake an options appraisal on preparing the Phase 2 site for redevelopment, and subject to agreement of funding by the Full Council, delegate to the Deputy Chief Executive, in consultation with the Leader of the Council, the authority to proceed.
- c) agree to settle the Final Account for the New Town Hall building as set out in Section 5.8 to 5.16 subject to agreement of funding by the Full Council
- d) delegates authority to Deputy Chief Executive, in consultation with the Section 151 Officer, to finalise the remaining financial matters as set out in Section 5.17 to 5.21.

RECOMMENDATION 4

That Full Council be recommended to approve:

- a) a supplementary capital estimate of £750,000 for the preparation of the old Town Hall site for redevelopment. Funded from existing capital resources and to note that there may be future borrowing for the current capital programme.
- b) a supplementary capital estimate of £1.735m in respect of the Town hall project, funded from existing capital reserves. In addition to transfer the budget and amend funding in respect of the Phase 2 housing budget to the Town Hall project.

Reasons for the Recommendations

In February 2017, the Council agreed the deliverables of the Town Hall Site redevelopment, the budget lines to achieve this, and the delegated powers to develop and proceed with the development (set out in more detail in Section 4). This report provides an update for Members at a key milestone and seeks approval to allow the finalisation of Phase 1, and to progress with Phase 2 of the project.

Closure of Meeting

With the business of the Cabinet concluded, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 8.01 pm

M G JONES
Chair

Agenda Item 12

Councillors Written Questions and the Responses

Full Council – 19 July 2023

Q1 – Question to Councillor Atif Nawaz (Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development) from Councillor Kim Jaggard

At the beginning of June, several newspapers (Telegraph, Independent etc) reported on guidance from The Institution of Structural Engineers which calls for car park designs to change to cope with bigger, heavier electric cars, some of which have batteries which can weigh around 500kg. [UK car parks could ‘collapse’ under the weight of EVs, report warns | E&T Magazine \(theiet.org\)](#)

They stated that “Many of Britain’s multi-storey car parks (need) to be ‘carefully considered’ amid fears that older designs cannot cope with the weight of electric vehicles. The greater weight is putting strain on car parks that were built in the 1960s and 1970s, which could put pressure on car park floors, with older structures most susceptible to buckling.”

With Crawley Borough Council owning and operating three multi storey carparks in Crawley:

- 1. Please can you confirm when our carparks were last independently assessed by structural engineers for load bearing limits?*
- 2. What measures have been put in place to determine whether our carparks are strong enough to support the additional weights of electric vehicles going forward?*
- 3. The report suggested reducing the number of spaces in carparks in order to limit weights. Will our car parks need to be reconfigured to accommodate fewer vehicles in the future?*
- 4. Would it be feasible to either limit or measure the weight of any vehicle entering our multistorey carparks?*
- 5. What are the likely budget implications for any future structural or configuration changes should they be needed?*

Answer by Councillor Noyce – (Cabinet Member for Environment, Sustainability and Climate Change)

Response

- 1. Town Hall/Exchange Road Car Park, Orchard Street Car Park and Kingsgate Car Park were last subject to a structural survey in 2022. These assessments were in relation to openings and vehicle restraint systems only, but no concerns were identified in relation to the wider structure or load bearing. The recent from**

Agenda Item 12

the Institution of Structural Engineers now recommends including load/weight bearing as part of these regular surveys and will be incorporated moving forwards. In light of information from the report, and work ongoing in relation to EV charging, a report has been requested and is to begin shortly which specifically focuses on weight bearing within the Town Hall Car Park. This is the only car park currently being considered for EV charging. Kingsgate and Orchard Street will be considered in due course.

2. An assessment of the Town Hall Carpark which is due to begin imminently. This will provide the council with sufficient structural calculations and data to ascertain if there are structural considerations relevant to the Town Hall Car Park which would require us to mitigate against excessive load bearing within the structure. Given this car park has been created using reinforced concrete, including the use of pillars, it is not anticipated that there will be an issue. Kingsgate and Orchard Street to be considered in due course and no EV charge points will be introduced without structural assessments being carried out.
3. It is not anticipated that EVs will result in the reduction of car parking spaces within the Town Hall Car Park and any weight limits may apply to specific areas of the carpark, which will likely result in EV charging being located at various points within the site, rather than a dedicated area for EV charging. The assessment due to begin shortly will inform how and where EV charging can be located. Kingsgate and Orchard Street will be considered in due course.
4. Technology does exist which would enable us to limit the weight of vehicles entering the site. It is not anticipated that EVs will result in the reduction of car parking spaces within the Town Hall Car Park and any weight limits may apply to specific areas of the carpark, which will likely result in EV charging being located at various points within the site, rather than a dedicated area for EV charging. The assessment due to begin shortly will inform how and where EV charging can be located. Kingsgate and Orchard Street will be considered in due course.
5. An assessment of the Town Hall Car Park which is due to begin imminently. This will provide the council with sufficient structural calculations and data to ascertain if there are structural considerations relevant to the Town Hall Carpark which would require us to mitigate against excessive load bearing within the structure. Given this car park has been created using reinforced concrete, including the use of pillars, it is not anticipated that there will be an issue. Kingsgate and Orchard Street to be considered in due course and no EV charge points will be introduced without structural assessments being carried out. It is important to note that the risks highlighted in the report published recently by the Institution of Structural Engineers. The Design Recommendations for Multi-storey and Underground Car Parks highlighted that the risks associated with weight bearing on related to the concentration of electric vehicles in dedicated places within structures i.e. EV-only charging on Floor 3. This means that there are different strategies to allow the integration of EV points that do not create load bearing risks or costly reinforcement.

Agenda Item 12

Q2 – Question to Councillor Chris Mullins (*Cabinet member for Leisure and Wellbeing*) from Councillor Peck

With regards to the completion of the major refurbishments and charging being introduced to the nine tennis courts across West Green Park, Maidenbower Park and Southgate Park, I would like to ask what the uptake has been since it's launch, in terms of courts rented both as annual season tickets and in addition, as pay per hour broken down weekly. How does this compare to previous usage when charging was not in place?

Response

Implementation of the new booking system and charging model went live on 17 April 2023. Following this, the final renovation and refurbishment works were staggered and completed in sequence to include: 2-tone court colouring across all three sites and the installation of ball-netting and kickboard at Maidenbower courts – work to be carried out in mid-July 2023.

Between April and June 2023 (Q1 2023/24), a total of 124 household season tickets and 218 Pay & Play sessions were sold, generating a gross income of £6,607.50. This equates to 32.6% of our Year 1 annual income target set at £20,215 (gross).

Table 1: below shows the month on month increase in court bookings and number of P&P sessions sold in the first 3 months (Q1: 2023/24)

	No of court Booking	P&P Sold	Season Tickets Sold
April	179	12	46
May	452	75	41
June	633	131	37
Total	1263	218	124

In addition, On 20 May, following the soft launch of the booking system, a successful Open Day was held at West Green Park with over 200 participants, of all ages and abilities, with free coach-led activities delivered by our coaching provider 'Serious About Community Tennis'. The event included a live DJ, free junior, adult and cardio taster sessions.

We do not have any recorded data on court usage prior to implementing the new gated access and booking system.

Agenda Item 12

Q3 – Question to Councillor Nawaz (*Cabinet Member for Planning and Economic Development*) from Councillor Crow

The water jets in Queens Square were described as being a central feature and a focal point, when the square was redesigned and repaved. While it was some years ago, I believe it was October 2017 when I attended the formal re-opening of Queens Square, with the water jets switch-on effectively being the main event after speeches being made.

I am aware the jets have not been working for a long time, longer than you have been a member of this council, although they were also switched off during parts of 2020 and 2021 due to the Covid-19 pandemic which muddies the waters somewhat as to if the jets were able to work or not.

We are now into the second half of the summer of 2023. We have just had the hottest June on record and summer last year was one of the hottest ever, with high temperature records broken. The water jets are still not working and it has been several years since this feature has been on during the summer with children in particular able to enjoy. There were media reports last month that they may be working soon, but I don't recall any information given to councillors about this

1. Please provide a broad monthly timeline of the operation of the water jets since first use, with the reasons for periods of non-operation explained?
2. Please provide an update as to when repairs will be completed. Will the water jets be switched on this summer or will Queens Square have a fourth successive summer without the water jets being switched on?

Response

1. November 2021: Flood to plant room where it filled the whole room up to ceiling level. Equipment controlling the fountains, and some surrounding electrical supplies were damaged and became unusable. Initial investigation highlighted that repairs to the plant room will be significant and not a simple solution.

November 2021 – May 2023: The ability to award the tender for these works was linked to the assessment of whether there may be a case to recover repair costs from the original Queens Square contractor. The advice was that until that position was clearer, the Council needed to hold off from completing the repair / refit to the plant room. Following collation of evidence and review by external legal advisers, it was apparent that pursuing a case against the contractor was likely to be costly, very difficult to prove and could have necessitated holding off undertaking the repair works until it is resolved (and no time frame could be given before any such case were resolved). Within this context, the agreed approach was for the Council to progress the repair / reinstatement directly.

Agenda Item 12

The hiatus while the legal position was being assessed subsequently required the design consultants to be re-engaged and for this work to be re-programmed. The specification and tender for the works (including adding greater resilience to minimise the potential for this to re-occur) were then progressed.

March – June 2023: Completion of specification, consultant and contractor procurements and appointments.

July – September 2023: Works on site

2. Week Commencing 24th July: Site set up and health and safety checks. Health and Safety checks to relevant areas and completion of the final design matters.

WC 31st July: Works on site to commence.

End of September: Works on site scheduled for completion.

There are currently regular meetings for every contractor and consultant to see if they can attend site earlier than planned and shorten their works programme. They are bound by their own supply chain requirements however these co-ordination meetings will continue over the site set up and works period to see if the programme can be shortened.

Agenda Item 12

Agenda Item 15

Full Council

29 MARCH 2023

Amendment 1 to Notice of Motion 1 – Motion Opposing London ULEZ Expansion

*Mover Councillor Jones and
Seconder Councillor Y Khan*

*(The new words are in **Red Bold** and words *Purple* and crossed through are
proposed to be removed)*

This Council notes that:

The London Ultra-Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) currently covers the area within the North and South Circular Roads only. **The aims of the ULEZ are to improve air quality, reduce pollution and improve health.**

The Mayor of London, Sadiq Khan, plans to extend the ULEZ to cover all London Boroughs from 29 August 2023.

On Thursday 16 February 2023, a coalition of five councils opposed to the ULEZ expansion, comprising four outer London Boroughs (Bexley, Bromley, Harrow and Hillingdon) and Surrey County Council, launched a Judicial Review to challenge TfL and the Mayor of London's decision to expand the ULEZ to outer London boroughs.

The proposed ULEZ extension would mean its outer boundary would apply from Farthing Way (the A23) in Coulsdon, which is only 11.9 miles away from Crawley's boundary on the M23 at Junction 9 near Gatwick, which can be a drive of under 15 minutes.

The daily charge to enter the London ULEZ is £12.50 for vehicles that are not exempt, with the penalty for not paying set to rise to £180.

The charge is levied from midnight to midnight, meaning that non-exempt vehicles entering the zone in the evening and exiting after midnight would be charged £25 for one trip to anywhere in London.

Many Crawley residents have a need to travel by car to outer London Boroughs for a variety of purposes, including work and specialist hospital appointments. Residents on lower incomes are more likely to own older vehicles that are not exempt from paying the ULEZ charge.

Agenda Item 15

This Council resolves:

- (a) to formally ~~oppose request, on behalf of Crawley residents, that~~ the expansion of London's Ultra Low Emission Zone ~~and to state opposition and the negative impacts for on Crawley residents~~ be paused until after modelling is produced by TFL to evidence the anticipated scheme impacts on traffic flows/ emissions/ pollution levels outside Greater London, in a written representation to the Mayor of London from the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services, Sustainability and Climate Change
- (b) to formally request that West Sussex County Council as the appropriate local authority responsible for Highways and transport matters match the mitigation package being provided in London for those local businesses within the Borough who can demonstrate that their business requires them to travel regularly into the new ULEZ.

Proposed Amended Notice Of Motion 1 – Motion Opposing London Ulez Expansion, if approved, now read:

This Council notes that:

The London Ultra-Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) currently covers the area within the North and South Circular Roads only. The aims of the ULEZ to improve air quality, reduce pollution and improve health.

The Mayor of London, Sadiq Khan, plans to extend the ULEZ to cover all London Boroughs from 29 August 2023.

On Thursday 16 February 2023, a coalition of five councils opposed to the ULEZ expansion, comprising four outer London Boroughs (Bexley, Bromley, Harrow and Hillingdon) and Surrey County Council, launched a Judicial Review to challenge TfL and the Mayor of London's decision to expand the ULEZ to outer London boroughs.

The proposed ULEZ extension would mean its outer boundary would apply from Farthing Way (the A23) in Coulsdon, which is only 11.9 miles away from Crawley's boundary on the M23 at Junction 9 near Gatwick, which can be a drive of under 15 minutes.

The daily charge to enter the London ULEZ is £12.50 for vehicles that are not exempt, with the penalty for not paying set to rise to £180.

The charge is levied from midnight to midnight, meaning that non-exempt vehicles entering the zone in the evening and exiting after midnight would be charged £25 for one trip to anywhere in London.

Many Crawley residents have a need to travel by car to outer London Boroughs for a variety of purposes, including work and specialist hospital appointments.

Agenda Item 15

Residents on lower incomes are more likely to own older vehicles that are not exempt from paying the ULEZ charge.

This Council resolves:

- (a) to formally request, on behalf of Crawley residents, that the expansion of London's Ultra Low Emission Zone be paused until after modelling is produced by TFL to evidence the anticipated scheme impacts on traffic flows/ emissions/ pollution levels outside Greater London, in a written representation to the Mayor of London from the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services, Sustainability and Climate Change
- (b) to formally request that West Sussex County Council as the appropriate local authority responsible for Highways and transport matters match the mitigation package being provided in London for those local businesses within the Borough who can demonstrate that their business requires them to travel regularly into the new ULEZ.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 16

Full Council 19 July 2023

Amendment 1 To Recommendation 4 Town Hall Site Redevelopment Phase 2 & Phase 1 Final Account Confidential (PART B)

*Mover Councillor Crow and
Seconder Councillor Piggott*

*(The new words are in **Red**)*

RECOMMENDATION 4

That Full Council be recommended to approve:

- a) a supplementary capital estimate of £750,000 for the preparation of the old Town Hall site for redevelopment. Funded from existing capital resources and to note that there may be future borrowing for the current capital programme.
- b) a supplementary capital estimate of £1.735m in respect of the Town hall project, funded from existing capital reserves. In addition to transfer the budget and amend funding in respect of the Phase 2 housing budget to the Town Hall project.
- c) **In order to recoup some of the additional capital expenditure, the council will endeavour as much as reasonably possible, to maximise the capital receipt that will be generated from the development of the old town hall site.**

Proposed Amended Recommendation 4, if approved, now read:

RECOMMENDATION 4

That Full Council be recommended to approve:

- a) a supplementary capital estimate of £750,000 for the preparation of the old Town Hall site for redevelopment. Funded from existing capital resources and to note that there may be future borrowing for the current capital programme.
- b) a supplementary capital estimate of £1.735m in respect of the Town hall project, funded from existing capital reserves. In addition to transfer the budget and amend funding in respect of the Phase 2 housing budget to the Town Hall project.
- c) In order to recoup some of the additional capital expenditure, the council will endeavour as much as reasonably possible, to maximise the capital receipt that will be generated from the development of the old town hall site.

This page is intentionally left blank